

Team 1 Outbrief

Team Members

- Bob Hartzell - OSD ctr
- Chris Alberg - ONR
- Dale Iverson - Raytheon
- Doug Dinon - GE
- Paul Snyder - Army
- Ray Thomas - WPAFB
- Scott Pearl - WPAFB
- Walt Tomczykowski - OSD ctr

Team 1 Results

- New Philosophy toward MRL Matrix
 - Value added: yes
 - Acceptable as is: yes
- Ask an expert
 - Value added: yes
 - Acceptable as is: yes
 - Generally comment:
 - Consistency of response is important

Team 1 Results (continued)

- Lessons Learned on MRA Conduct
 - Value added: yes
 - Acceptable as is: with modifications identified below
 - Additional work required on lessons Learned
 - How to approach the Prime and its Subcontractors during the review: order of engagement, inclusion of the Prime, how to deep dive and which participants to do it, etc
 - SOW requirements for the MRL assessment needs to include reference to suppliers
 - SOW requirement for MRL assessment: address the number of subcontractors planned to assess as part of the MRA
 - Develop MRA Plan: under this, make sure the government includes the critical GFE list of items
 - Contractor Self-assessment: self assessment by itself lacks validity; validate a contractor assessment
 - Programmatic activities need to address MRL involvement from the very beginning of the program – i.e., RFI initiation
 - Need to stress more teamwork in the MRL process where assessments are conducted and information is shared (government / contractor partnership)
 - An understanding of TRLs for MRL assessment items is essential

Team 1 Results (continued)

- Contract Language
 - Value added: yes
 - Acceptable as is: with modifications identified below
 - Recommended changes
 - Section 6.3, end of 3rd line: “and/or”
 - On dodmrl.org, bury old Deskbook editions under an “Archive” directory (other older documents and tools too)

Team 1 Results (continued)

- Hotlink
 - Value added: yes
 - Acceptable as a beta test version: no, need at least one MRL (i.e., 8) and/or thread fully populated; and the modifications identified below
 - Recommended changes:
 - Add links to every element of the matrix (not just the criteria)
 - Add new categories including examples of artifacts and data that are considered acceptable evidence, and other considerations (i.e., environmental, workforce skills, etc.)
 - House linked information on a single controlled location (not just out to the internet somewhere uncontrolled)
 - Pull up hyperlinked data out of columns and rows to make it overall more readable

Team 1 Results

- Implementation Guide
 - Value added: yes
 - Acceptable as is: with modifications identified below
 - Recommended changes
 - Key documents and activities: asterisk those required by statute or regulation