

# Commercialization of MRL's

# Team Members

- Team

- |                   |                   |
|-------------------|-------------------|
| • Mike Spears     | GE Aviation       |
| • Ian McCammon    | L3 Communications |
| • Randolph Blanks | APT Corp.         |
| • Barry Burton    | AFRL              |
| • Harry Agosto    | DCMA              |

# Feedback on New Chapter

- Team assessment of New Chapter - Adaption
  - Value added: Yes or No
    - Yes
      - Adaption – adds more detail, but not too much
    - No
      - Careful on applicability – Justify why the change
      - Get customer approval
        - Agree on the methodology and agree on the desired goal
  - General Comments / Recommended actions
    - MRL SME – Be able to explain/discuss how your are a SME.
    - ME SME – Make sure they are a part process
    - The way criteria vs criterion. Understand definitions and look at whole document.
    - Adaptation vs Adaption – Look at whole document. Also look at interchanging those with tailoring. Be consistent with 5000.02.
    - Para 8.1 example: “therefore notional definitions have been defined within this document in order to clarify the intent of specific terminology”. A link to the definitions would be helpful.

# Feedback on New Paragraph

- Para 8.5 - MRL's Criteria for Industry
  - Value added: Yes
  - Acceptable as is: Yes
  - General Comments/ Observations
    - On Figure 8.4 include "yours and customer gates"
    - Suggested change "Similar to implementation of ISO 9000 and AS6500 implementation of manufacturing assessments..."
    - Change last paragraph : "adapted as an integral ~~required~~ element"
  - Recommended Actions
    - No

# Feedback on Proposed Matrix Change

## STE/SIE Validation

### Team Assessment of proposed changes

- Value added: No
- Acceptable as is: No
  - Drop wording
- General Comments/ Observations
  - Do we need to make STE/SIE validation “plan”
    - Listed in the contract. Are we micro-managing something that is already in the contract?
    - Be specific on what you want & who is responsible for it.
    - Verification & Validation is in the contract
      - Verification is it meets specification .
      - Validation is it meets its intended purpose.
    - Just write the contract right.
    - Ambiguity on the term validation. Is it accreditation?
    - Is the point to develop criteria for validation?

# Other Recommended Changes

- Matrix / Desk Book
  - Should we add a EHS element /subject paragraph? Is that covered in Material Handling and Facilities?
  - Security enterprise risk thread /subject paragraph? Is that in facilities and manufacturing planning?
    - Physical
    - Electronic
    - ITAR
  - Prototype, product, systems & element word usage in the desk book. What are the definitions of those terms?
- User Guide
  - Create radio button to send an email for an addition consideration comment update to the user guide.

# Summary

- Workshop value
  - Worth pulling everyone together.
  - Quarterly Meeting - People should be able to call into the quarterly and listen to discussions
- Team assessment of New Chapter was good
- Team assessment of proposed Matrix Change was they did not like and recommend not adoption.
- Other comments
  - Good working session.
  - Lively.